the policy adopted by the Government, myself and members of my party will be absent from the House for some time.

*(Janab J. Mohamed Imam followed by Sri T. C. Honnappa, Sri B. Channabyre Gowda, Sri B. Hutche Gowda and Sri Mahanta Devaru, left the Hall.)*

---

## PANEL OF CHAIRMEN.

**Mr. SPEAKER** (Sri V. Venkatappa).– I wish to announce to the House that under Rule 7-c of the Mysore Legislative Rules

1. Janab J. Mahamood Sheriff
2. Sri K. Subba Rao
3. Sri H. K. Veeranna Gowda
4. Sri B. C. Nanjundiah

will constitute the Panel of Chairmen of the Assembly.

---

## COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS

**Mr. SPEAKER** (Sri V. Venkatappa).– Under Standing Order 57 of the Legislative Assembly Standing Orders, the following Members will form the Committee on Petitions, *viz.*,

1. Sri Govinda Doss
2. Sri C. R. Basappa
3. Sri B. L. Boranna Gowda
4. Sri W. H. Hanumanthappa

According to the same Standing Order, the Deputy Speaker will be the Chairman of the Committee.

---

## Statement re : Publication of Proceedings of the Assembly.

**Mr. SPEAKER** (Sri V. Venkatappa).– Honourable Members will remember that during the last Session of the Assembly printed proceedings of the first nine days were in the hands of the Members before the close of the Session. The anxiety of my office has been to bring out the proceedings as expeditiously as possible. Very often the value of the proceedings is lost if there is inordinate delay in bringing them out.

Some Honourable Members however expressed the view that the printed version of particular speeches were not accurate. I may say that every speech made by every Honourable Member on the floor of the House is sent to him and he is given 24 hours within which to send his corrections for incorporation in the proceedings. Some Members, however, do not send their corrections, or if they do so, they send them long after the prescribed period of 24 hours. All the corrections received in the office within the prescribed time are invariably incorporated in the printed proceedings. It is only where an Honourable Member's corrections are not received or are received too late, the Office publishes the speech in an uncorrected form. But even then the necessary grammatical and other minor corrections are made in the Office before printing the same.

Keeping in view the opinions expressed by some of the Honourable Members, the arrangement proposed for bringing out the proceedings of the present Session will be as follows. Transcripts of Members' speeches will be placed in their hands by 1 O' clock the next day after the speech is delivered. Members are requested to kindly return the same after making the corrections within 24 hours. Printed copies of the proceedings of any particular day will be available to them on the sixth day.

I have explained the circumstances which have necessitated a slight delay in bringing out the proceedings compared with the last Session.

In this connection, may I inform Honourable Members that the printed proceedings are supposed to be an accurate and verbatim record of what was actually said on the floor of the Assembly? As such, Honourable Members would be in order only in making corrections of a grammatical or clerical nature. No substantial corrections or changes of entire portions of the speech would be in order.

Finally, I may state that in accordance with the practice followed in the old Legislative Council Honourable Members of the Assembly will be supplied with copies of the bound volumes of the proceedings of an entire session in addition to the daily parts supplied as and when they are brought out.

---

## Ruling of the Speaker on the point of order raised during the last session about scope of debate in certain circumstances.

**Mr. SPEAKER** (Sri V. Venkatappa).—During the last session of the Assembly on the demand under the head "Land Revenue", Janab Mohamed Imam moved a cut motion as follows:

"That the demand under the head '1. Land Revenue" for Rs. 48,82,000 be reduced by Re. 1 (to raise a debate on the exchange of enclaved villages between the States of Mysore and Madras)".

The Honourable Leader of the House—the Chief Minister—raised an objection to the moving of this cut motion. He said:

"But a constitutional aspect has arisen and I venture to submit that this matter requires careful examination. Not only this matter but several other matters which have been concluded with the Government of India by this Government and on which the Government of India have issued an order are likely to come up for discussion on the floor of this House. In the first place, a decision has to be taken whether such orders of the Government could be debated upon on the floor of this House and if it is decided on any particular aspect that it could be discussed so far as it relates specifically to the action of the Mysore Government, then the scope of such debate has to be laid down."

On that occasion I observed that the point raised was an important one and that I would give a ruling on the general issue after hearing the views of the Honourable Members. On the cut motion itself I permitted debate.

Later, on the demand under the head "General Administration" the Honourable Member, Janab Mohamed Imam sought to move a cut motion in the following terms:

"That the demand under the head '12. General Administration' for Rs. 22,44,900 be reduced by Re. 1 (to raise a debate on the recommendation of the Mysore Government to the States Ministry regarding the termination of he services of Sri Puttaraj Urs)".

The Leader of the House again raised a point of order and observed that the present cut motion, like the one on which a point of order was raised earlier, required a decision on the main constitutional aspect involved before its admissibility could be determined. He stated:

"Whenever the Government of India takes any particular decision or issues any particular order in the exercise of the power vested in them under the provisions of the Indian Constitution, that cannot be made the subject-matter of discussion in any State Legislature whatsoever, whether in Part A State or Part B State."

He proceeded to say:—

"There are some other actions taken by the Government of India or orders issued which are not in pursuance of any specific article under the Indian Constitution but which are on the basis of administrative exercise of authority; I refer to matters relating to food and certain other departments like that in regard to which the Government of India take decision and issue certain orders after consultation with the State Government concerned."

"I may straightaway admit that so far as such administrative action of the Government of India is concerned, it is not because of any specific inherent power vested in them by the Constitution. The recommendation or the advice or the suggestion given by the State Government in that behalf can legitimately be debated upon on the floor of this House".

After hearing Janab Mohamed Imam also on this point of order, I requested him not to move his cut motion for the reason that the retirement of Sri Puttaraj Urs which was raised in the cut motion was being enquired into by the Chief Justice of India and any discussion here would be embarrassing I also stated that I would reserve my ruling on the general issue raised over the scope of discussion on such matters in this Assembly. On the penultimate day of the last session, I made a statement drawing the attention of the Members to the several points involved in the objection raised by the Chief Minister to the two cut motions and invited the reference of the Members to certain provisions of the Constitution which appeared to me to have a bearing on the subject. On the last day of the session, this point of order was debated at length by the Honourable Members and I am grateful to them for helping me with their valuable opinion.

During the discussion one view was expressed that as the point of order